IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Civil Action No.:

STUART A. GLOVER, III, Plaintiff,	
V.) NOTICE OF REMOVAL
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, Defendant.)))

Now comes the Defendant, North Carolina Department of Transportation, and gives notice of the removal to this Court of a matter filed on November 15, 2012, in the Superior Court Division of Wake County, North Carolina (bearing Wake County File No.: 12 CVS 015971), pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 621 *et seq*. Attached and incorporated by reference are a copy of Plaintiff Stuart A. Glover III's Complaint and a copy of the summons issued to the Defendant Department of Transportation.

As grounds for removal, the Defendant shows the Court as follows:

- 1. In paragraphs No. 2 through 9 of the Complaint, and in the Prayer for Relief, the Plaintiff cites as jurisdiction, the federal Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, codified as amended at 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq..
- 2. The U.S. District Courts have original jurisdiction of civil actions based upon federal law per 28 U.S.C. § 1331; and, therefore, over this case.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant North Carolina Department of Transportation, the sole defendant in this action, notices the removal of this matter from the Superior Court of Wake County, North Carolina to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North

Carolina.

This the 13th day of December, 2012.

ROY COOPER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Thomas H. Moore

Assistant Attorney General

North Carolina Department of Justice

Transportation Section

1505 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699-1505

N.C. State Bar No. 21202

Email: <u>Tmoore@ncdoj.gov</u> Telephone: (919) 707-4486

Facsimile: (919) 715-3870

STATE OF NORTH CAI	ROLINA LEU		ERAL COURT OF JUSTI	CE
WAKE COUNTY	2012 NOV 15 PM 4: 0	3 SUPERIO	OR COURT DIVISION	
	WAKE COUNTY, C.S.C.			
STUART GLOVER III,	BY)		
Plaintiff,	E 3)		
v. .) (COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Requested)	
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF)	RECEIVE	EIVED
TRANSPORTATION,		.)	NOV	/ 2 6 2012
Defendant.)		TRANSPORTATION CESS AGENT

NOW COMES Plaintiff Stuart A. Glover ("Mr. Glover"), complaining of Defendant North Carolina Department of Transportation ("the Department"), and alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action is brought to remedy discrimination against Mr. Glover in the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment, and to recover damages he suffered as a result of age discrimination, retaliation and unlawful employment practices.
- 2. This Complaint is brought under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. (as amended)(hereinafter "ADEA"). Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 29 U.S.C. § 626.
 - 3. Mr. Glover is a citizen of Wilson, Wilson County, North Carolina.
- 4. The Department is an agency of the State of North Carolina, employs more than 500 people, and is an employer as defined by the ADEA. The Department's primary office is located in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina. Hence, venue lies in Wake County.



II. EEOC PROCESS

- 5. Mr. Glover timely filed a <u>Charge of Discrimination</u>, EEOC charge number 433-2011-02306, ("<u>Charge 1</u>"), against the Department with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of age discrimination and retaliation, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. <u>Charge 1</u> was filed on May 13, 2011, within the 180-day jurisdictional limitation for investigation by the EEOC of the events that occurred in April 2011 and thereafter.
- 6. On or about August 17, 2012, the EEOC issued a <u>Right to Sue Letter</u>, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 7. Mr. Glover timely filed a <u>Charge of Discrimination</u>, EEOC charge number 14B-2011-00066, ("<u>Charge 2</u>"), against the Department with the EEOC, complaining of age discrimination, a violation of NCGS 7A-759 (b1), and retaliation, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. <u>Charge 2</u> was filed on October 7, 2011, within the 180-day jurisdictional limitation for investigation by the EEOC of the events that occurred in April 2011 and thereafter.
- 8. On or about August 17, 2012, the EEOC issued a <u>Dismissal and Notice of Rights</u>, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit D.**
- 9. Mr. Glover has exhausted all available administrative remedies. This <u>Complaint</u> is filed within the requisite time period for filing the ADEA claim.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

10. Mr. Glover began working for the Department on or about June 7, 2003 and currently works as an Engineering / Agricultural Technician (Technician II) based at the Department of Transportation office on Airport Boulevard, Wilson, North Carolina.

The facts pertinent to Charge 1 are as follows:

- 11. Mr. Glover is sixty (60) years old.
- 12. Walter Everett, Mr. Glover's co-worker, is also an Engineering / Architectural Technician, and the two share the same job responsibilities. Mr. Everett's annual salary is greater than Mr. Glover's annual salary.
- 13. Mr. Glover is older than Mr. Everett. However, Mr. Glover also has more education than Mr. Everett, and the two have comparable experience.
- 14. Mr. Glover discussed his concern that this pay disparity was a result of age discrimination with his supervisor Richard Polythress between January 2011 and February 2011. Mr. Glover was told that nothing could be done and that he should stop asking about the disparity.
- 15. On or about April 13, 2011 Mr. Glover discussed his concerns about age discrimination with Charles Brown, State Location and Surveys Engineer. Mr. Brown dismissed his concerns saying that nothing could be done and that he was sure age had nothing to do with it.
 - 16. To date Mr. Glover is paid less than Mr. Everett.
- 17. On May 13, 2011 Mr. Glover filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC regarding these events (Exhibit A).
- 18. On or about May 10, 2011, Mr. Glover was issued "Documented Counseling" by Mr. Brown. Nothing in Mr. Glover's work performance or conduct warranted these measures; rather, they were issued in retaliation against Mr. Glover for complaining of discrimination based on his age.

The facts pertinent to Charge 2 are as follows:

- 19. On May 13, 2011 Mr. Glover filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC alleging age discrimination and retaliation (Exhibit A).
- 20. On April 18, 2011, Mr. Glover applied for the Vehicle/ Equipment Technician Supervisor Position.
- 21. On June 29, 2011 Mr. Glover learned that Joseph Robert Dail, Jr. (Age: 39) had been selected for the position.
- 22. This instance was one of five (5) in which Mr. Glover was passed over for a supervisory position that was filled by a candidate who was both lesser qualified and at least ten (10) years younger than Mr. Glover.
- 23. At least three (3) of these incidents were the result of unfair evaluations as well as the denial of Veteran's preference.
- 24. These unfair evaluations constitute acts of retaliation insofar as they directly correlate with Mr. Glover's filing a previous grievance alleging age discrimination.

V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(ADEA -- Discrimination)

- 25. Mr. Glover adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
 - 26. Being over forty (40) years of age, Mr. Glover is a member of a protected class.
- 27. Mr. Glover was subjected to age discrimination by the Department of Transportation.
 - 28. The discrimination was based on Mr. Glover's age.

- 29. Mr. Glover communicated to his supervisors that he felt that he was being discriminated against on the basis of his age, but they dismissed his concerns and he continued to be passed over for promotions for which his experience and education qualified him.
 - 30. The Department's actions constitute discrimination against Mr. Glover on the basis of his age.
 - 31. The Department is liable to Mr. Glover for the acts of its managers.
 - 32. Mr. Brown took uncalled for action against Mr. Glover as a result of the latter's complaints, thereby abusing his position of authority as a Department manager.
 - 33. Mr. Glover has suffered compensatory damages in an amount exceeding \$10,000 as a proximate result of the conduct of the Department as alleged herein.
 - 34. Mr. Glover is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees as necessary for the prosecution of this action.

VI. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(ADEA -- Retaliation)

- 35. Mr. Glover adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 36. Mr. Glover's filing charges of discrimination against the Department of Transportation led to a tangible employment action, namely, his being passed over for multiple positions.
- 37. The Department's actions described in paragraphs 11-24 constitute retaliation against Mr. Glover.
 - 38. The Department is liable to Mr. Glover for the acts of its managers.

- 39. Mr. Glover has suffered compensatory damages in an amount exceeding \$10,000 as a proximate result of the conduct of the Department as alleged herein.
- 40. Mr. Glover is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees as necessary for the prosecution of this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Glover respectfully requests the following relief:

- 41. Declare that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the ADEA.
- 42. Order a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from further acts of age discrimination;
- 43. Award Mr. Glover appropriate back pay, future earnings, and reimbursement for income and benefits lost since the denial of the promotion, plus interest so as to render Mr. Glover whole;
 - 44. Award Plaintiff costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney and witness fees;
- 45. Grant judgment against Defendant, in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for compensatory damages to which Plaintiff is found to be entitled, together with interest;
 - 46. A trial by jury on all issues so triable; and
 - 47. Any other additional relief that is appropriate and just.

Respectfully submitted, this the 15th day of November, 2012.

SCHILLER & SCHILLER, PLLC

By:

David G. Schiller (NCSB # 26713) Professional Park at Pleasant Valley 5540 Munford Road, Suite 101

Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

Telephone: (919) 789-4677 Facsimile: (919) 789-4469 Email: dgschiller@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Stuart Glover

SCHILLER & SCHILLER PLLC Professional Park at Pleasant Valley 5540 Munford Rd, Suite 101 Raleigh, NC 27612



UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE

1000



U.S. POSTAGE PAID RALEICH, NC 27676 NOV 16, 12 AMOUNT

\$5.95

Route 4

pelivery Point

Transportation

1501

70100290000267964531 W100008HMI Ms. Sarah Mitchell due Process Agent Dept. of
Transportation
Transportation Building, 1 South Wilmington St.
1501 Mail Service Report 1501
Raleigh, NC 27699-1501
NOV 27 2012
DEPT. OF JUSTICE
TRANSPORTATION

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	Te20CV1)15971		
Wake County	In The General Court Of Justice [] District Superior Court Division		
Name Of Plaintiff Stuart A. Glover III			
Address			
4343 NC HWY 42 East City, State, Zip			
Wilson, NC 27893	☐ ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS (ASSESS FEE)		
VERSUS	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3, 4		
Name Of Defendant(s) North Carolina Department of Transportation	Date Original Summons Issued Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) Issued		
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:	RECEIVED		
Name And Address Of Defendant 1	Name And Address Of Defendant 2 NOV 2 6 2812		
Sarah Mitchell due Process Agent for Dept of Transportation	<u> </u>		
Transportation Building,1 South Wilmington Street	DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION PROCESS AGENT		
1501 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1501			
 Serve a copy of your written answer to the complaint upor you have been served. You may serve your answer by delast known address, and File the original of the written answer with the Clerk of Sup If you fail to answer the complaint, the plaintiff will apply to the 	Derior Court of the county named above.		
Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (If None, Address Of Plaintiff) Mr. David G. Schiller	Date Issued Time AM 11-15-2012 Time		
5540 Munford Road, Suite 101	Signature		
Raleigh, NC 27612	Deputy CSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court		
ENDORSEMENT (ASSESS FEE) This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60) days.	Date Of Endorsement Time AM PM Signature Deputy CSC Deputy CSC Clerk Of Superior Court		
	NOV 272012		
	DEPT. OF JUSTICE TRANSPORTATION		
less are heard by an arbitrator before a trial. The p so, what procedure is to be followed. AOC-CV-100, Rev. 6/11	N programs in which most cases where the amount in controversy is \$15,000 or parties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandatory arbitration, and, if		
© 2011 Administrative Office of the Courts Case 5:12-cv-00799-FL Document 1 Filed 12/13/12 Page 11 of 13			

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	FI-20115971
Wake County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District Superior Court Division
Name And Address Of Plaintiff 1 Stuart A. Glover III 4343 NC HWY 42 East Wilson, NC 27893	CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET
Name And Address Of Plaintiff 2	TY. C. INITIAL FILING SUBSEQUENT FILING Rule 5(b), General Rules of Practice For Superior and District Courts
BA	Name And Address Of Attorney Or Party, If Not Represented (complete for initial appearance or change of address) Mr. David G. Schiller 5540 Munford Road, Suite 101
VERSUS Name Of Defendant 1	Raleigh, NC 27699-1501 1
NC Department of Transportation Transportation Building,1 South Wilmington Street	Telephone No. 919-789-4677 NC Attorney Bar No. Cell Telephone No. Cell Telephone No. Attorney E-Mail Address
1501 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1501	27613 schillerfirm@gmail.com
Summons Submitted Yes No	
Name Of Defendant 2	Name Of Firm Schiller & Schiller PLLC FAX No.
	919-789-4469
Summons Submitted Yes No	Counsel for All Plaintiffs All Defendants Only (List party(ies) represented)
Jury Demanded In Pleading	Amount in controversy does not exceed \$15,000
Complex Litigation TYPE OF	Lack Stipulate to arbitration PLEADING
Ccheck all that apply Amend (AMND) Assess Motions Fee (SEE NOTE) Amended Answer/Reply (AMND-Response) Assess Motions Fee (SEE NOTE) Amended Complaint (AMND) Assess Motions Fee Answer/Reply (ANSW-Response) (SEE NOTE) Change Venue (CHVN) Assess Motions Fee Complaint (COMP) Confession Of Judgment (CNFJ) Consent Order (CONS) Consolidate (CNSL) Assess Motions Fee Contempt (CNTP) Assess Motions Fee Continue (CNTN) Assess Motions Fee Compel (CMPL) Assess Motions Fee Counterclaim (CTCL) Assess Court Costs Dismiss (DISM) Assess Court Costs Exempt/Waive Mediation (EXMD) Assess Motions Fee Extend Statute Of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL) Assess Motions Fee Extend Time For Complaint (EXCO) Assess Motions Fee	(check all that apply) □ Failure To Join Necessary Party (FJNP) Assess Motions Fee □ Failure To State A Claim (FASC) □ Improper Venue/Division (IMVN) Assess Motions Fee □ Intervene (INTR) Assess Motions Fee □ Interplead (OTHR) Assess Motions Fee □ Lack Of Jurisdiction (Person) (LJPN) Assess Motions Fee □ Lack Of Jurisdiction (Subject Matter) (LJSM) Assess Motions Fee □ Lack Of Jurisdiction (Subject Matter) (LJSM) Assess Motions Fee □ Rule 12 Motion In Lieu of Answer (MDLA) Assess Motions Fee □ Sanctions (SANC) Assess Motions Fee □ Set Aside (OTHR) Assess Motions Fee □ Show Cause (SHOW) Assess Motions Fee □ Transfer (TRFR) Assess Motions Fee □ Third Party Complaint (List Third Party Defendants on Back) (TPCL) □ Vacate/Modify Judgment (VCMD) Assess Motions Fee □ Withdraw as Counsel (WDCN) Assess Motions Fee □ Other (specify and list each separately)
NOTE: See Side Two for a list of motions not subject to the motions fee. CLAIMS F	NOTE: Assess fee only if court permission is required to amend. OR RELIEF
Administrative Appeal (ADMA) Injunction (INJU) Appointment Of Receiver (APRC) Medical Malpractice Attachment/Garnishment (ATTC) Minor Settlement (M Claim And Delivery (CLMD) Money Owed (MNYC Collection On Account (ACCT) Negligence - Motor Negligence - Other (Condemnation (CNDM) Motor Vehicle Lien C Discovery Scheduling Order (DSCH)	Limited Driving Privilege - Out-Of-State (MDML) Convictions (PLDP) STL) Possession Of Personal Property (POPP) Product Liability (PROD) Vehicle (MVNG) Real Property (RLPR) NEGO) Specific Performance (SPPR)
Date Movember 15 202	Signature Of Aftorney/Party
NOTE: All filings in civil actions shall include as the first page of the filing a cover shee	et summarizing the critical elements of the filling in a format prescribed by the real party to refile a filling which does not not used to require dicover sheet. For a local (AOC-CV-751), Motion (AOC-CV-753) cover sheet.
AOC-CV-751, Rev. 6/11, Case 5.12-cv-00799-FLts Document	ver) it at the second s

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have served copies of the foregoing, **Notice of Removal** and attached **Complaint And Demand For Jury Trial**, on the Plaintiff via his counsel of record and the Wake County Clerk of Superior Court, by placing said document in the United States mail, first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows:

David G. Schiller Schiller & Schiller, PLLC Professional Park at Pleasant Valley 5540 Munford Road, Suite 101 Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

Wake County Clerk of Superior Court Wake County Courthouse P.O. Box 351 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-0351

This the 13th day of December, 2012.

Thomas H. Moore

Assistant Attorney General